FELICIA ENNIS PARTNER

-7753

y.com



WARSHAW BURSTEIN, LLP 575 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 (212) 984-7700 www.wbny.com

May 16, 2025

VIA ECF

The Honorable Philip M. Halpern United States District Judge United States District Court for th United States District Judge 300 Quarropas St. White Plains, NY 10601

A telephone conference is scheduled for June 12, 2025 at 3:30 p.m. concerning the matters raised in the parties' respective letters (Docs. 76 and 78). At the time of the conference, all parties shall call the following number: 855-244-8681; access code 2317 236 5283.

Accordingly, any deadlines associated with Plaintiff John Doe's motion to seal (Doc. 70), are adjourned sine die, and will be addressed at the conference on June 12, 2025.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate the letter motion pending at Doc. 76.

SO ORDERED.

Philip M. Halpern

Dated: White Plains, New York

May 22, 2025

Chun-Ko Chang. v. Shen Yun Performing Arts, Inc., et al., Case No. 24-cv-8980 Re:

Dear Judge Halpern:

We are counsel to Defendant International Bank of Chicago ("IBC"), in the above-captioned matter. We write to request access to unredacted copies of the papers filed in support of Plaintiff John Doe's motion requesting leave to proceed pseudonymously and to file certain papers under seal and ex parte ("Plaintiff Doe's Motion") [ECF Nos. 70-73]. Currently, only the redacted Memorandum of Law is available [ECF No. 72], depriving IBC of the ability to fairly challenge Plaintiff Doe's Motion.

Under Second Circuit precedent, in determining whether to allow a filing under seal, courts weigh the "common law presumption of access" to judicial documents against "countervailing factors" like the privacy interests of the parties. Lugosch v. Pyramid Co. of Onondaga, 435 F.3d 110, 119-20 (2d Cir. 2006). Consistent with this precedent, Rule 5(B) of the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases, the Court's standing order, 19-mc-00583, and ECF Rules & Instructions, sections 6 and 21, instruct a party seeking court approval to file information under seal or to redact information from a document that is to be publicly filed, to narrowly tailor the request.

Here, Plaintiff's counsel did not meet and confer with IBC's counsel or otherwise provide any factual basis for redacting or sealing Plaintiff Doe's Motion in connection with IBC. Notably, Plaintiff Doe's Motion does not attribute any alleged fear of retaliation or retribution to IBC, nor does it identify any other compelling need to avoid disclosure of information to IBC. Additionally, the heavily redacted portions of the Memorandum of Law filed in support of Plaintiff Doe's Motion [ECF No. 72], are not narrowly tailored but instead, operate to completely conceal from and deprive IBC of the opportunity to challenge the factual basis for Plaintiff Doe's Motion. For example, although Plaintiff Doe alleges that compared to the other publicly named plaintiffs in this case, he is at "higher risk of and more vulnerable to retaliation if he is publicly named as a plaintiff in this litigation," his counsel redacted entire sections containing the factual basis for this contention. See Memorandum of Law, pp. 3-4; 6-7; 9-10 [ECF No. 72]. These redactions are prejudicial to IBC, making it impossible for it to assess and challenge Plaintiff Doe's Motion.

¹ Counsel filed the unredacted memorandum of law by selecting the Viewing Level for "Selected Parties." [ECF 70]. However, counsel for IBC was not provided with access to this document.

Warshaw Burstein, LLP

Hon. Philip M. Halpern May 16, 2025 Page 2 of 2

In the event that this Court grants IBC's application, IBC respectfully requests a 14-day extension of time to respond to Plaintiff Doe's Motion from the date that access to the unredacted motion papers are provided. Currently, IBC's opposition to Plaintiff Doe's motion is due on May 22, 2025. Counsel for IBC has also conferred with counsel for the Shen Yun Defendants, who concur with IBC's application.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Felicia S. Ennis
Felicia S. Ennis
WARSHAW BURSTEIN, LLP
575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 984-7700
fennis@wbny.com
Counsel for Defendant International Bank of Chicago

cc: All Counsel of record via ECF